

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,196	09/09/2003	Kenji Sera	Q77403	1621	
23373	7590 12/28/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			VESPERMAN, WILLIAM C		
SUITE 800	ILVANIA AVENUE, N	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2813		

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(lity		
		Applicati	ion No.	Applicant(s)	•		
Office Action Summary		10/657,1	96	SERA ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		William C	. Vesperman	2813			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with t	the correspondence add	ress		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (30 vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this com DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 09 September	2003.				
	•	o)⊠ This action is i					
,—	Since this application is in condition for	•		, prosecution as to the i	merits is		
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	a)  accepted or b ion to the drawing(s) he correction is requi	be held in abeyance. red if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFF			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority december 2. Certified copies of the priority december 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applents have been receile le 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National S	Stage		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/N	imary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-	. 152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/657,196

Art Unit: 2813

## DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in reply to applicant's filing of 9/9/2003.

## Election/Restrictions

2. A telephone call was made to Howard Bernstein on December 14, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. A <u>thin film semiconductor device</u> comprising: an insulating substrate; a plurality of thin film transistors of n-channel type and p-channel type formed on the insulating substrate each having a polycrystalline silicon film as an active layer. See embodiments. Class 257, subclass 1+. See embodiments. (Claims 1 10, 17, 18 and 20 are suggested.)
- II. A <u>display device</u> Class 257, subclass 1+. See embodiments. (Claims 12 and 13 are suggested.)
- III. A <u>differential amplifier circuit</u> Class 362, subclass 1+. See embodiments. (Claims 21 37, 40, 42, 43, 46, 48, 50 62 are suggested.)

Page 2

Art Unit: 2813

- IV. An amplifier circuit Class 362, subclass 1+. See embodiments. (Claims 38, 39, 41, 44, 45 and 49 are suggested.)
- V. A method of manufacturing a thin film semiconductor device. See embodiments.
   (Claims 15 and 16 are suggested.)
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Groups (I IV) cited above with regards to the claimed invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Inventions V and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process; in that instead of introducing the p or n-type dopant in the channel region of the transistors, one could introduce the desired dopants in the polycrystalline silicon film prior to formation of the channel region.
- 6. Because these inventions (Groups I, II, III, IV and V) are distinct for the reasons above, restriction for examining purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143)

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/657,196 Page 5

Art Unit: 2813

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Vesperman whose telephone number is 571-272-1701. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wcv My

Art Unit 2813

December 14, 2004

CARL WHITEHEAD, JR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800